

CRM-M-37415-2022

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-37415-2022

Date of decision: 10.10.2022

Gurpreet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Rishab Bhandari, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A. G. Punjab.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through this petition, the petitioner seeks regular bail in case FIR No.157 dated 16.11.2021, registered under Section 304 IPC, at Police Station Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as per the contents of FIR got registered by Gurdeep Singh, his son, namely, Randhir Singh (since deceased) was a drug addict; that initially, Randhir Singh went missing, but later on, his dead body was found lying near the sugarcane fields; that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in the present case on the basis of last seen/circumstantial evidence; that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 27.02.2022 i.e. after a period of more than three months of the alleged occurrence. In fact, Randhir Singh had died of overdose of drugs. He further submits that challan has been presented and that the prosecution witnesses are yet to be examined.

CRM-M-37415-2022

On the other hand, learned State counsel, while opposing the grant of bail to the petitioner, submits that the alleged occurrence took place on 15.11.2021; that it was only on 24.11.2021, the supplementary statement of the complainant, father of the deceased, had been recorded; that as per the report of chemical examiner, poison had been detected in the body of the deceased.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

As per the case of the prosecution, on 15.11.2021, Randhir Singh, son of the complainant went missing; that later on, the dead body of Randhir Singh was found lying near the sugarcane fields, and that as per the CCTV footage, Randhir Singh (since deceased) was seen going with the petitioner and one unknown person on a motor-cycle. The petitioner was the last person with whom the deceased had left the village.

Though it is stated in the FIR that the deceased was a drug addict, yet the fact remains that the deceased was last seen alive with the petitioner and as per the report of chemical examiner, the poison had been detected in the body of the deceased.

Keeping in view the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner, this Court does not find any merit in the present petition.

Dismissed.

10.10.2022

parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No