

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(203)

CRM-M-31506-2019

Date of decision:- 25.08.2022

Taranjit Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate for
Mr. Rahul Rampal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S.Grewal, AAG, Punjab for State-respondent No.1.

Ms. Tanika Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.87 dated 23.05.2019, registered for offences under Sections 406 and 498-A of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Women, District Ludhiana, Annexure P-1.

On 09.05.2022, this Court passed the following order:-

“Upon instructions from the complainant, counsel for the complainant/respondent No.2 submits that an amount of Rs.3.65 lacs is pending on account of maintenance awarded under Section 24 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, though it has been inadvertently recorded as Section 125 Cr.P.C., in the previous order.

Counsel for the petitioner seeks and is granted an adjournment to get instructions regarding payment of the same.

Upon instructions from ASI Vipin Kumar, State counsel submits that although the petitioner has joined investigation, but gold ornaments have to be recovered.

Let the petitioner rejoin investigation on 16.05.2022 at 11.00 a.m., or on any subsequent day thereafter, as required by the Investigating Officer, at Police Station Women, District Ludhiana and cooperate with the Investigating Agency.

It is once again made clear that in case the petitioner fails to do so, interim bail granted to him shall be liable to be vacated. This will be the last opportunity.

List on 25.08.2022.

Interim order to continue.”

On categoric instructions received from ASI, Roop Singh, State counsel submits that the petitioner has not re-joined the investigation despite a specific order having been passed by this Court on 09.05.2022.

As the petitioner has failed to re-join in compliance of order passed by this Court, petition is dismissed.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

25.08.2022
Kamal

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No