

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 28060 of 2013 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 15.09.2022

Baljeet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Singal, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent Nos. 1 & 2.

Mr. G.S. Verma, Advocate for
Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate
for respondent No. 3.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution, *inter alia*, for directing the respondents to select / appoint the petitioner for the post of Up-Vaidya on the basis of merit under Scheduled Caste Category being higher in merit against Advertisement at P-3, as the respondent-Department had not considered Scheduled Caste candidates in General Category as per their merit though they having secured more marks than the General Category candidates.

Learned State Counsel has produced a copy of order dated 14.09.2022 (in Punjabi) passed by Director Ayurveda, Punjab, which is taken on record as **Mark-'X'**.

In view of the above, learned counsel for petitioner submits that main grievance of petitioner has been redressed and as such, petition be disposed off. However, she further submits that petitioner be granted liberty

to raise her claim regarding the ancillary reliefs for which she would have been entitled in case of her appointment at appropriate time with other similarly situated candidates.

Disposed off with the liberty, as aforesaid.

September 15, 2022
'dk kamra'

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>