

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRR No.1696 of 2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 22nd August, 2022

Vaishali

...Revisionist-petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate,
for the revisionist-petitioner.

* * * *

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(ORAL)

After arguing for a while, learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the revisionist-petitioner would be raising all the pleas, as may be permissible to her under law, before the trial Court concerned.

Ms. Ambika Sood, learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, who has appeared on behalf of respondent No.1-State in this case in pursuance of the copy of the present petition having been sent to this respondent in advance, has no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn accordingly.

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

22.08.2022

seema

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No