

116.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18222-2022

Date of Decision: 22.08.2022

NAVROZE SINGH

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Pardeep Kumar Kapila, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner herein is seeking seniority, pay fixation and notional consequential benefits from the date when other category candidates out of the same advertisement were appointed as Constable.

Counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner would contend that in this regard, the petitioner has already served a legal notice dated 22.04.2022 Annexure P-6, upon respondent No.2, but no action has been taken thereon so far. He would submit that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied in case his legal notice is decided, in accordance with law, in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, Ms. Deepali Puri, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents and submits that the respondents will look into the matter and will take a decision on the legal notice of the petitioner.

Let sufficient number of copies of the complete paper book be supplied to her during the course of day.

Without commenting on merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide legal notice dated 22.04.2022 Annexure P-6 of the petitioner within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order, in accordance with law and convey the decision to the petitioner. In case of failure to do so, the officer responsible for the lapse would be liable to costs of Rs.20,000/- from his personal pocket, to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Advocates Welfare Fund.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

22.08.2022

sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No