

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

223-19

CWP-18348-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.09.2022

SURJEET SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. J.S. Saneta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Mulwani, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Nitin Thatai, Advocate
for respondent No.4 & 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of the India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate legal action against the respondents No.4 and 5 who are trying and making attempt to forcibly take away the vehicle of the petitioner bearing Registration No.HR-45B-8274 with the help of their recovery agents.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5 contends that the matter has already been amicably resolved by virtue of a settlement that has been entered into between the parties.

The aforesaid assertion is not controverted by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

27.09.2022
rajender

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No