

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CWP-2790-2013

Date of Decision :11.07.2022

Ranbir Singh

..Petitioner

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Limited
and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate for the respondents.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that though, the benefit of ACP being claimed by the petitioner in the present writ petition has been given to him by the respondents but, the petitioner has not been given the correct corresponding scale, for which, he is entitled for, therefore, the petitioner be given liberty to approach the respondents for claiming the said benefit.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner claiming any benefit, the same will be considered by the respondents in accordance with law and an appropriate order on the same will be passed within period of two months from the date of receipt of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel, no grievance of the petitioner

survives in the present petition and the same may be disposed off having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

July 11, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No