

**202 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of Decision: 14th December, 2022

CRM-M-36258-2022

Ram Niwas ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CRM-M-36261-2022

Anil Kumar ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CRM-M-37496-2022

Vikram Dutt ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vinod Ghai, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate
Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate and
Mr. Vishal Sauda, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. These three petitions have been filed seeking anticipatory bail in case of FIR No. 6 dated 1.8.2018 under Sections 120-B, 420, 467, 468 and 471 IPC, 1860 and Section 13 (1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988 registered at P.S. State Vigilance Bureau, Ambala.

2. This Court vide order dated 21.10.2022, stayed the arrest of the petitioners subject to their joining investigation.
3. Learned State Counsel submits that the petitioners have joined investigation and are co-operating however, they are required to continue to join investigation.
4. As the petitioners have joined the investigation and are lending co-operation, the interim order dated 21.10.2022 is made absolute.
5. In the event of arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on bail subject to their furnishing adequate bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer. They are directed to join the investigation as and when called for. They shall abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.
6. The petitions are allowed.
7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case(s).
8. Since the main case has been decided, the pending application(s), if any is rendered infructuous.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

14th December, 2022

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No