

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36971-2022

Date of Decision: August 22, 2022

Mani

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Ajay Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioner.

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the issue involved in this case is regarding beating up of the minor son of the petitioner as also the petitioner at the hands of the neighbourers. Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to para 6 of the petition to submit that on account of the beatings given by the accused to the petitioner, who was pregnant, resulted into termination of her pregnancy. He further submits that the petitioner and her husband narrated the entire episode to respondent No.3 to investigate the matter but nothing has been done.

Learned counsel for the petitioner on query posed by this Court admits that no representation has been submitted to respondent No.3 or any other authority with regard to the same. He states that he will submit a representation to respondent No.3 and would be satisfied if a direction is issued to decide the same in accordance with law.

Notice of motion

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Vishnav Gandhi, DAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and states that in case, any representation is filed on behalf of the petitioner, the same will be considered and decided, in accordance with law.

In view of the aforesaid submission made on behalf of the petitioner, in case the petitioner submits a representation to respondent No.3, respondent No.3 is requested to look into the same and take a decision thereon expeditiously, in accordance with law.

August 22, 2022
sarita

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No