

(242) IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CWP-18248-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision:17.11.2022

Surjeet Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present : Mr. Naveen, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Saneta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Niting Thatai, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ , J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents No.2 and 3 to take appropriate legal action against the respondents No.4 and 5 who are trying and making attempt to forcibly take away the vehicle of the petitioner bearing Registration No.HR-45-B-8061 with the help of their recovery agents.

Learned counsel for the respondents No.4 and 5 contends that the matter has already been amicably resolved by virtue of a settlement that has been entered into between the parties.

The aforesaid assertion is not controverted by the learned counsel for the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present petition stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE**

17.11.2022

rajeev

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No