

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

274

CRM-M-35215-2020 (O&M)
Date of Decision: August 16, 2022

Surender and another

.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.Sankalap, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

Mr.Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana

Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Mr. Vishal Nehra, Advocate for
the aggrieved persons-Dalbir and Sanjay.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the aggrieved persons submits that they were duped to the extent of Rs.20 lacs. However since the police had registered the FIR based on the complaint, they do not fall into the definition of complainants and as such they have a right to be arraigned as party respondents.

Learned counsel for the State supports the stand taken by learned counsel for the aggrieved persons.

On perusal of the present petition also reveals that these persons were not arraigned as respondents and only the complainant was arraigned as respondent which makes the petition itself defective.

Given above, the prayer is not maintainable and dismissed with liberty reserved to file fresh petition after entering into compromise with all the aggrieved persons.

All pending application(s), if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

August 16, 2022

sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

No