

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

227

CWP-18293-2018

Date of Decision :26.07.2022

Surender Kumar Arya and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Shivam Malik, Advocate for
Mr. Anurag Goyal, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, AAG, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that keeping in view the judgment passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP-3922-2011** titled as **Narender Pal Singh Arya and another vs. State of Haryana and others** on 03.10.2016, the petitioners, who are similarly situated, are also entitled for the benefit of the said judgment but, the same has not been extended to them by the respondents on the ground that the petitioners were not party to the said writ petition. Learned counsel for the petitioners further argues that keeping in view the settled principle of law settled by a Division Bench of this Court in **Satbir Singh vs. State of Haryana 2002(2) S.C.T. 354**, once a question of law already attained finality, the same has to be implemented qua all the similarly situated persons without forcing them to approach this Court for the grant of same

relief. Prayer of the petitioners is for issuance of a direction to the respondents to grant the benefit of the said judgement to the petitioners.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of filing a representation dated 23.05.2018 (Annexure P/10), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied at this stage in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 23.05.2018 (Annexure P/10) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners in their representation, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 23.05.2018 (Annexure P/10) by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. In case after the decision it is found that petitioners are entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to them within a period of next four weeks.

Present writ petition stands disposed off.

July 26, 2022

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No