

203

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-27655-2013

Date of Decision: 18.04.2022

Ramesh Kumar Devgan

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Chhabra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate, and
Ms. Nitika Jaura, Advocate,
for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking revision of his pension keeping in view the Notification of the Punjab Government, Department of Finance, dated 17.08.2009 (Annexure P-1), according to which, the revised pension was to be fixed, w.e.f. 01.01.2006, along with the arrears of the said revised pension.

Learned counsel for the petitioner very fairly submits that after filing of the present petition, though certain benefits have been extended to the petitioner, but some of the calculations of the said payments, according to the petitioner, are not correct, which need to be rectified.

Learned counsel for respondent No.3 submits that in case the petitioner has any grievance with regard to the calculations of any amount while fixing his revised pension by the respondent-Corporation, he may

approach the authorities concerned by filing an appropriate representation in that regard. Learned counsel for respondent No.3 further submits that in case any such representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be considered by the authorities concerned and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the submissions made on behalf of learned counsel for respondent No.3, as recorded hereinbefore, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition at this stage and the same may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further at this stage with liberty to the petitioner to approach the respondent-Corporation by filing a representation raising the grievance, if any, left unaddressed so far.

Ordered accordingly.

18.04.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No