

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31311-2019 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.08.2022

Kaushik Chatterjee

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Vinod Ghai, Senior Advocate with
Ms. Kanika Ahuja, Advocate and
Mr. Edward Augustine George, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Singh Chaudhary, Addl. AG Haryana.

Mr. D.S. Patwalia, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Gaurav Rana, Advocate for the complainant.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR No. 748 dated 23.11.2017, registered under Section 114, 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC, at Police Station Civil Lines, District Gurugram.

Vide order dated 24.07.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench, *ad interim* anticipatory bail was granted to the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that pursuant to the aforesaid order dated 24.07.2019, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Ankit, submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 24.07.2019 granting *ad interim* anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions envisaged in Section 438 (2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

However, the prosecution would be at liberty to move an application for cancellation of bail granted to the petitioner, if the same is misused by the petitioner.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

26.08.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No