

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.23337 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 08.09.2022

Pardeep Saini and othersPetitioners

versus

State of Haryana and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present : None for the petitioners.
Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana with
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur Manchanda, Advocate
for the respondents.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (oral)

On 31.08.2022, we had passed the following order:

“These cases formed part of a bunch of 74 petitions that were heard and the matter was reserved for orders.

*On behalf of the State, it was urged by the learned Additional Advocate General, Haryana, that the matter in issue was squarely covered by a decision rendered by the Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court in **Indore Development Authority Vs. Manohar Lal and others, AIR 2020 SC 1496.***

However, while recording a detailed judgment in each of the reserved petitions, the judgment wherein is being pronounced today, it transpired that in these writ petitions, none had caused appearance on behalf of the petitioners to render any assistance or clarify the factual position.

In the given situation, lest the interest of the petitioners/landowners is prejudiced, in any manner, we refrained ourselves from deciding these petitions on merits.

Adjourned to 08.09.2022.

Office is directed to inform the counsel for the petitioners of the date fixed in the matter.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.”

As earlier none is present even today on behalf of the petitioner(s) to pursue the matter, leaving us choice-less but to dismiss the petition for non-prosecution.

Ordered, accordingly.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

08.09.2022
Rajan

Whether speaking/reasoned	√Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No√