

**242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36372-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision:-24.08.2022

Ravinder @ Ravinder Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present:- Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer is for grant of regular bail in case having FIR No.144 dated 03.05.2021 registered under Sections 188, 285, 34 IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 Arms Act, 1959 (Sections 307, 120-B IPC and Section 27 added later on) at Police Station Beri.

Notice of motion.

On asking of Court, Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State and has furnished the custody certificate as per which the custody of the petitioner comes out to be more than one year and one month.

The counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR in this case was registered against three unknown persons who fired shots at the main gate of

the house of the complainant. No one sustained injuries due to the said firing. He further submits that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than one year and after the completion of investigation the Police has presented the challan but it will take considerable time for the trial to conclude. The learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that in all the other criminal cases faced by petitioner he is on bail.

The present petition is opposed by the State counsel who submits that the petitioner is having criminal history as has been detailed in his custody certificate. The State counsel further submits that the petitioner along with his two companions fired shots which hit the main gate of the house of the complainant. However, the State counsel on instructions from ASI Pritam has not refuted the fact that after completion of the investigation challan has been presented and that the petitioner is in custody for the last more than one year.

I have considered the submissions made by the counsel for the parties.

Admittedly, no one had suffered injury at the time of the alleged occurrence. The police has presented the challan on completion of investigation and it may take considerable time for the trial to conclude. Similarly situated co-accused Amarjeet @ Pankaj has already been granted benefit of bail by this Court vide order dated 09.08.2022 passed in CRM-M-34175 of 2022.

Thus, no useful purpose is going to be served by prolonging the judicial custody of the petitioner for any longer period.

In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned.

24.08.2022
Sonia

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No