

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

205(3)

**CRM-M-34817 of 2020
Date of decision : 07.01.2022**

Harman Kaur @ Harwinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present :- Mr. Bikramjit Singh Bajwa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Deol, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the complainant.

(Through video conferencing)

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.237 dated 10.09.2020, registered under Section 420 IPC, at Police Station Gate Hakima, Police District Police Commissionerate Amritsar, District Amritsar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the allegations alleged against the petitioner are totally false as, the main allegations of receiving money are against the husband of the petitioner namely, Ravinder Singh, who has also been made co-accused.

Keeping in view the said averment, an interim bail was granted by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court on 25.11.2020. Thereafter, during the investigation, it came on record that a sum of Rs.80,000/- was transferred in the bank account of the petitioner by the other co-accused, the details of which were mentioned in the reply filed by the State.

Thereafter, the present petition, which was filed for the grant of anticipatory bail, was tagged with the other petitions filed by the other co-accused seeking grant of regular bail. During the proceedings which took place before this Court on various dates, the petitioner in the present case as well as the other co-accused, who had filed the petition seeking regular bail, undertook before this Court that they intend to settle their dispute with the complainant and are ready to return the amount to the complainant and had secured interim bail for the said purpose so as to arrange the amount in question. Thereafter, undertaking given by the petitioner alongwith the co-accused to return the amount to the complainant was not fulfilled. Today, the petitions filed by the co-accused seeking regular bail have been disposed of having being not pressed any further due to the conduct of the petitioners therein as they failed to comply with their undertaking.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the conduct of the petitioner is also identical to that of the co-accused as no amount has been returned to the complainant which was found by the Investigating Agency, as having been in her account by the co-accused hence, the present petition is also not being pressed any further and the same may kindly be disposed of as such.

Ordered accordingly

The interim order granted by the co-ordinate Bench already stands vacated.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

07.01.2022
rimpal

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No