

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18181-2020

Date of decision : 20.09.2022

Rajbir SinghPetitioner
Versus

Union of India and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr. Ripudaman Singh Sidhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Arvind Moudgil, Senior Panel Counsel, Govt. of India,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Vishal Aggarwal, Advocate,for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAVI SHANKER JHA, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

It is conjointly stated and admitted by learned counsel for the parties that the petition has been rendered infructuous with the efflux of time. However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the question(s) of law raised in the petition be kept open for adjudication in appropriate proceedings.

In view of the conjoint statement of learned counsel for the parties, the petition is dismissed as having rendered infructuous. However, the question(s) of law raised in the petition are kept open to be adjudicated and decided, as and when arise, in appropriate proceedings.

(RAVI SHANKER JHA)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

September 20, 2022
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No