

Shish Pal

...Petitioner

versus

Neerja Sekhar and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 23.08.2018, in CWP-1765-2016.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 23.08.2018, reveals that CWP-1765-2016, was allowed, impugned order dated 25.09.2015 set aside and respondents directed to grant the benefit of 1st ACP scale to the petitioner w.e.f. due date i.e. 01.01.2022 along with all consequential benefits

3. Learned DAG, Haryana, refers to order Annexure R/1 dated 23.10.2019, as per which the petitioner has been granted the first ACP scale 01.01.2022, after withdrawing the earlier order granting the said benefit w.e.f. 01.01.2006. Learned DAG, further refers to paragraph No.2 of the reply to contend that all consequential benefits have also been released to the petitioner by the department, pursuant to grant of benefit of first ACP from 01.01.2022, therefore in the circumstances, no action

under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondents.

4. None is present on behalf of the petitioner. In the circumstances, it appears that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition.

5. In view of the position noted above, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

6. Rule discharged. However, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the contempt petition, in case cause survives.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

03.08.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No