

118/2

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCF-1632-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision :07.12.2022

Ajit Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Vivek Pratap Singh and another

....Respondents

Coram :

Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present :

Mr. K.P. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajit Singh Natt, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Saksham Khunger, Advocate for Mr. Sandeep Khunger,
Advocate for respondent No.2.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM-15955-CII-2022

Learned counsel for the non-applicant/respondents state that they have no objection to the prayer for preponement.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the non-applicant/respondents, the application is allowed and date of hearing is preponed from 14.02.2023 and with the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the main case is taken up for hearing on board today itself.

COCF-1632-2022

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1 dated 13.05.2022 in CWP-10244-2022.

[2] A perusal of order Annexure P-1 reveals that CWP-10244-2022 was disposed of in view of the assurance held out by the learned State Counsel that in case order dated 04.04.2022 had not implemented by the respondent-Corporation, needful would be done within eight weeks

from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

[3] Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 has filed compliance report-cum-reply by way of affidavit of Sh. Sandeep Rishi, Commissioner, MC Amritsar alongwith receipt issued by the petitioner in token of having received arrears of Rs.3,14,034/- vide cheque No.566919 in terms of order No.C/17 dated 04.04.2022 passed by the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Amritsar and nothing remaining outstanding. Copy of compliance report is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner who on perusal thereof states that in view of payment having been made to the petitioner, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to move an application for claiming outstanding payment, if any found due, on reconciliation.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

07.12.2022

ps

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>