

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No. 40838 of 2021
Reserved on: 13.09.2022
Pronounced on: 28.09.2022

Malwinder Singh and othersPetitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Sunny Kumar Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. M.S. Yadav, Advocate for respondents No. 2 and 3.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
141	19.10.2014	Amargarh, District Sangrur	451, 355, 323, 324, 34 IPC (355, 324 IPC deleted later on)

The petitioners, arraigned as accused in the above captioned FIR, have come up before this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C for quashing of the FIR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved persons.

- During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved persons have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-2.
- After that, the petitioners came up before this Court to quash the FIR, and in the quashing petition, impleading the aggrieved person as respondent.
- As per the report of JMIC, Malerkotla on 18.8.2022, the aggrieved persons statement could not be recorded as they did not come present.
- Since all the aggrieved persons did not agree for compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.

6. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition and there would be no necessity re-examine the aggrieved persons(s) and the accused persons(s), whose statements stand recorded. The copies of the statements along with report would suffice.

Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

28.09.2022
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: **No.**