

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.128

CRM-M-36984-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 22.08.2022

Amit

....Petitioner

Versus

Pooja

....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Panghal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press the present petition on its merits and at this stage, he would be satisfied if the same is disposed of with a direction to the Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Charkhi Dadri (for short – the Trial Court) to finally decide in a time bound manner the issue of maintenance in the proceedings initiated by the respondent under Section 12 of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 (for short – 2005 Act).

Since every litigant has a right for speedy disposal of legal proceedings pending against him/her, in the facts of the present case, the above alternate prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner is found to be reasonable and accepted. Resultantly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to finally decide the issue of maintenance in the application filed by the respondent under Section 12 of 2005 Act, expeditiously but not later than 01 year from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, in accordance with law.

August 22, 2022

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No