

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-18510-2022

Date of Decision : 23.08.2022

Lekh Raj

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present : Mr. Rakesh Sobti, Advocate
for Mr. Narinder Pal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

The petitioner has preferred the instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing the respondents to open the seal cover and promote the petitioner to the post of Superintendent Grade-1 from the date on which his immediate junior was promoted and make payment of arrears of pay with interest at the rate of 12% per annum.

Learned counsel, on instructions from petitioner, states that at this stage, instead of pressing the writ petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case, representation dated 13.10.2021 (Annexure P-5) is decided expeditiously.

Notice of motion to that limited extent.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Lavanya Paul, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents-State. She raises no objection

against the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without adjudicating the controversy on merits, writ petition is disposed off with direction to respondent No.1-Additional Chief Secretary-cum-Financial Commissioner Revenue and Rehabilitation, Punjab, to consider and decide the aforesaid representation dated 13.10.2021 (Annexure P-5), in accordance with law by passing a reasoned order, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that in case there is a failure on the part of competent authority, petitioner would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for revival of this writ petition.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

23.08.2022
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No