

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31231-2019

Date of decision : 22.09.2022

AMRIK SINGH AND OTHERS

... Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. T.S. Hundal, Advocate for
Mr. Gurmeet Singh Guri, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ravinder Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Nirmaljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate
for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

The prayer in this petition is for quashing of DDR No.03 dated 25.05.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 341, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda in FIR No.27 dated 24.05.2019 (Annexure P-2) registered under Sections 341, 323, 452, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of a compromise arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 23.07.2019 this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded with regard to the compromise dated 31.05.2019 (P-3).

The Illaqa Magistrate/trial Court was to submit a report in this regard giving certain details as enumerated in the said order.

Pursuant to the order dated 23.07.2019 passed by this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phul and as per the report dated 21.09.2019 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

A perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in **“Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543”**.

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Phul accompanied by statements of both the parties, the DDR No.03 dated 25.05.2019 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 323, 341, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda in FIR No.27 dated 24.05.2019 (Annexure P-2) registered under Sections 341, 323, 452, 148 and 149 IPC at Police Station Sadar Rampura, District Bathinda along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioners.

Petition stands disposed of.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

22.09.2022
JITESH

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No