

was worth acceptance then in that eventuality payment was directed to be made within six weeks along with interest at the rate of 6.5% per annum from the date of completion of work till release of payment in favour of the petitioner but in case the competent authority was of the view that the relief claimed by the petitioner was not entertainable then a speaking order was ordered to be passed so as to allow the petitioner to avail its legal remedy in accordance with law.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to notice issued to respondent No.2 to show cause as to why proceedings under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 be not initiated against him, payment as per entitlement has been released to the petitioner, therefore, the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against respondent No.2 under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

[5] Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

10.08.2022
amit

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No