

110.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-22731-2016

Date of Decision: 09.08.2022

KRISHAN CHAUDHARY

.... Petitioner

Versus

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. Denesh Goel, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

JAISHREE THAKUR.J (Oral)

The petitioner herein is seeking reservation being a dependent of Ex-Serviceman in General Category, for the post of Male Constable (General Duty) advertised vide advertisement No.08/2015 on 19.07.2015 (Annexure P-1).

It is contended that the petitioner, while filling up his application form, has duly mentioned his category as General Category, dependent on Ex-servicemen, but he was considered under General Category only and issued the admit card as such.

I have heard counsel for the petitioner and have gone through the reply filed by the State.

A perusal of the advertisement itself would show that there is no post advertised for dependent of Ex-Serviceman in General Category

(DESM). The petitioner had applied under category No.1, which pertains to 5000 posts of Male Constable (General Duty) wherein 1650 posts were meant for General Category, 1000 for SC, 800 for BC-A, 550 for BC-B, 500 for SBC and 500 for EBPB, and there is no reservation meant for DESM, which the petitioner is seeking for.

In view of above, this Court does not find any ground for interference. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

09.08.2022

sanjeev	Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable:	Yes/No