

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**218**

**CWP No.22590 of 2016**

**Date of decision: 10.10.2022**

Janak Raj and another

...Petitioners

V/s

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI**

Present: Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.  
Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the main relief sought in the present petition has been granted to the petitioner and only relief that would survive is with regard to payment of interest on delayed payment of arrears in view of the law settled in *A.S. Randhawa vs. State of Punjab and others, (1997) 3 SCT 468 (F.B.)*. Learned counsel further submits that he be permitted to withdraw this petition with liberty to approach the authorities with regard to his claim for interest in view of the aforesaid judgment of the Full Bench of this Court.

Learned State counsel would point out that the affidavit dated 21.01.2020 filed on behalf of respondent No.4 states in para No.4, the aforesaid factum of grant of relief to the petitioners. He has no objection as such, if the present petition is withdrawn with liberty as prayed.

Heard learned counsel for the parties.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid.

**(VIKAS SURI)  
JUDGE**

October 10, 2022

*Ajay*

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No