

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(207)

CRM-M-36019-2022

Date of Decision : October 12, 2022

Ravi Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Gagandeep Kaur, Advocate, for
Mr. B.K. Bhangu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, though the prayer of the petitioner is for the grant of regular bail but the petitioner will be satisfied, in case, a direction is issued to the trial Court to complete the trial within a period of six months so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Teevar Sharma, learned Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, keeping in view the service of advance copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Learned counsel for respondent-State raises no objection with regard to the grant of said prayer.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record with their able assistance.

The petitioner is not pressing his prayer for the grant of regular bail and the only prayer pressed is for directing the trial Court to complete the trial within a period of six months from the next date of hearing. Keeping in view the fact that the petitioner is behind the bars for the last more than two years and 12 witnesses are to be examined, the trial Court is directed to make all endeavour to complete the trial within a period of six months from the next date of hearing fixed before the trial Court.

The present petition is disposed of in above terms.

October 12, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/ No