

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-36357-2022

Date of Decision: 23rd November, 2022

Malkeet Singh @ Kuldeep @ Baljeet ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Rahul Sidher, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Geeta Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

AVNEESH JHINGAN , J.(Oral)

1. This is fourth petition filed seeking regular bail in FIR No. 282, dated 3.11.2019, under Section 22-C and in added Sections 27A of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 (for short 'the Act') registered at Police Station City-Fatehabad, Haryana.

2. One of the main grounds pressed for seeking bail is that trial is not proceedings at a decent pace.

3. The prayer is being opposed by learned State counsel in view of the restrictions of Section 37 of the Act. She on instructions from Investigating Officer PSI Parveen Kumar submits that six prosecution witnesses are left, all are official witnesses and an earnest effort would be made to examine the remaining witnesses by 15th January, 2023.

4. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State the petition is disposed of at this stage.

5. There is no doubt that in case a request is made before the court concerned for accommodating the date for examining the prosecution witnesses, the same would be considered in accordance with law.

**(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE**

23rd November, 2022

anuradha

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No