

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

231

CRM-M-36155 of 2022

Date of Decision:22.09.2022

Suresh @ Laden

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Vikas Lochab, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, DAG, Haryana

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for the grant of regular bail to the petitioner in FIR No.15 dated 23.01.2022, under Sections 188, 120-B IPC and Section 4/5 of Explosive Substance Act, registered at Police Station Nangal Chaudhary, District Mahendergarh.

It has been submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is in custody from 26.04.2022 and the investigation of the case has been completed. He further submitted that one of the other co-accused namely Vishal @ Dholia, who is the son of the petitioner has been granted bail by the learned trial Court on 08.03.2022 and therefore, the present petitioner may also be considered for grant of regular bail.

On the other hand, Mr. Naveen Singh Panwar, learned DAG, Haryana has stated that it is a case where huge explosive substance were found in the house

of the petitioner and the petitioner is indulged in illegal mining. He submitted that the mere fact that the son of the petitioner has been granted bail by the learned trial Court does not vest any right in the petitioner for grant of regular bail particularly in view of the fact that the petitioner is involved in as many as 25 more cases which are pertaining to Sections 379, 392, 382, 302, Arms Act, and such like cases. He submitted that the allegations against the petitioner are not only serious but also have high magnitude wherein large explosive substance were found in his house and he is indulged in illegal mining and has vehemently opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioner.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The petitioner although is in custody from 26.04.2022 but considering the magnitude and seriousness of the allegations and also the antecedents of the petitioner as stated by the learned State counsel, this Court does not deem it fit and proper to grant bail to the petitioner, therefore the present petition is dismissed.

However, anything observed hereinabove shall not be treated as an expression of opinion on merits of the case and is only meant for the purpose of decision of present petition.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

September 22, 2022

dinesh

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No