

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-36527-2022 (O&M)
Reserved on: 16.09.2022
Date of Pronouncement: 29.09.2022

Jatinder Pal Singh & others
...Petitioner (s)
Versus
The State of Punjab & another
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Parunjeet Singh, Advocate
for respondent no.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

DDR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
20	8.11.2011	Moti Nagar, District Ludhiana	323, 324, 506, 148 & 149 IPC

In

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
48	2.11.2011	Moti Nagar, District Ludhiana	323, 324, 341, 325, 295, 506, 148 & 149 IPC

The petitioners, arraigned as accused in the DDR of the above captioned FIR, have come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of the DDR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved person.

2. During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved person have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-2.

3. After that, the petitioners came up before this Court to quash the DDR, and in the quashing petition, impleading the aggrieved person as respondent.

4. On 24.8.22, the aggrieved person Bhupinder Singh (R-2) appeared before the JMIC, Ludhiana and stated that there would be no objection if the court quashes this DDR and consequent proceedings. As per the concerned court's report dated 7.9.22, the parties consented to the quashing of DDR and consequent proceedings without any threat.

ANALYSIS & REASONING:

5. However, the petitioners did not arraign the aggrieved/ injured Jaspal Singh and Shammy Sharma as respondents in this case who as per the statement of the concerned court have received injuries

6. Since all the victims did not state about their no objection to the compromise and also the reasons which led to the compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.

7. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition and there would be no necessity re-examine the already examined aggrieved and the accused, whose statements stand recorded. The copies of the statements along with report would suffice.

Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

September 29, 2022
AK

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes
No.