

**259 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-31459-2019

Decided on: 25th March, 2022

Chaman Lal

Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Maninder Singh Bajwa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for respondents No.6 to 9.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. Due to COVID-19 situation, the Court is convened through video conference.
2. This petition was filed seeking directions to the official respondents to take action in FIR No. 72, dated 10th May, 2019, under Sections 381/511 IPC registered at Police Station city Patti, District Tarn Taran and arrest the private respondents (arrayed in the petition). Further the prayer is for transferring the investigation to some independent agency. The petition was filed in the year 2019.
3. Learned State counsel on instructions from SI Baljinder Singh, SHO, Police Station City Patti, District Tarn Taran submits that respondents No.6 to 9 were found innocent during the investigation and respondents No.8 and 9 were granted anticipatory bail. He further submits that no truth was found in the content of the representation filed by the petitioner in May, 2019. Representation was filed and on coming to conclusion that petitioner was making a false complaints Klandera under Section 182 IPC

was filed vide DDR No. 18, dated 29th September, 2019. He further submits that challan against two accused i.e. Sanju @ Kala and Bachhitar Singh, was filed on 3rd August, 2019.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that police officials were not fairly investigating the matter and were helping the accused.

5. In view of the facts brought to the notice of this Court by learned State counsel, no directions are called for with regard to first prayer made in the petition.

6. No case is made out for transfer of the case to another investigation agency as challan stands presented, moreover that apart from the bald statement no case is made out for transfer of investigation. The petition is dismissed.

7. However, it is clarified that petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievance if any.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

25th March, 2022

Parveen Sharma

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes /No
2. Whether reportable	:	Yes /No