

COCp-2104-2021

Date of Decision :13.05.2022

Lachhman Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Naunihal Singh, Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana
and Ors.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Ms. Shreya Sehgal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.
*****B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that in view of the grievance of the petitioner having been redressed, the instant petition be permitted to be withdrawn with liberty to file a fresh petition, if the circumstances of the case, so warrant.

[2] The same is not opposed to by the learned AAG.

[3] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.05.2022

'Amit'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*