

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

CWP-18045-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision : 18.08.2022

Jagroop Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

Financial Commissioner (Appeals), Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. G.K. Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Advocate, for the caveator.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The Collector appointed respondent No.4 as Lambardar. The petitioner was rejected primarily because he was employed as a Superintendent in the Forest Department and would not have been available to the villagers. This order was up-set in appeal filed by the petitioner without taking into consideration the factum of non-availability to the villagers. Thus, the Financial Commissioner was justified in reversing the appellate order. Although, he has erred in law in considering the comparative merits of the candidates, ultimate decision reached by him is correct. Thus, no interference is called for.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

18.08.2022

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No