

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-35771-2022
Date of Decision: 07.12.2022**

RAMANDEEP SINGH

... PETITIONER

V/S

STATE OF HARYANA & ANOTHER

... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. L.S.Mann, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Garg, AAG Haryana.

* * * *

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

On 16.08.2022 following order was passed:

“Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 86 dated 12.07.2022 under Sections 34, 406, 498-A, 506 IPC, registered at Police Station Women, District Rewari.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the complainant on 16.02.2020 and a daughter has been born from the wedlock on 01.04.2021. There are false, vague and omnibus allegations with regard to demand of 5 gold rings levelled against the petitioner, his parents and sisters. The petitioner is ready and willing to amicably settle the dispute.

On the oral request, complainant i.e. Neha Rani d/o Surender Kumar r/o Arjun Nagar, Rewari is impleaded as respondent No.2.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, DAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

The petitioner and the complainant are directed to appear before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court on 07.09.2022. On appearance of the complainant before the Mediator, the petitioner shall pay a sum of Rs. 30,000/- to facilitate her presence and participation in the mediation proceedings.

Report of the Mediator be awaited for 07.12.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation

and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

As per the report received from Mediation and Conciliation Center of this Court, no amicable settlement could be effected between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of the interim directions.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Mamta, states that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 16.08.2022 is made absolute.

07.12.2022

Janki

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No