

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

225

CWP-16703-2018 (O & M)

Date of Decision: March 15, 2022

DR. MANJEET KAUR AND ORS

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

KURUKSHETRA UNIVERSITY

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr.Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amarjit Singh Virk, Advocate for the respondent.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

Case taken up through video conferencing.

The petitioners are seeking quashing of the advertisement (Annexure P-2) on the ground that the criteria followed is contrary to the criteria applied by the Haryana Public Service Commission for the post of Assistant Professor.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the respondent was required to follow the criteria adopted by the State Government, in view of the affidavit filed on its behalf in response to the averments made in CWP-21513-2017, which was dismissed in terms of the stand of the State Government.

Respondent in its reply has stated that the State Government had issued directions on 21.07.2011 for adoption of various recommendations of the UGC and Ministry of Human Resource Development with regard to the minimum qualification for appointment of teaching and other staff in the institutions of higher education. The Executive Council in its meeting dated

09.08.2011 has adopted the recommendations and the relevant rules/ordinances and statutes would stand amended accordingly.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the selection would be carried out in terms of the recommendations of the State Government. He further submits that the petitioner has not challenged the adoption of these recommendations by the State Government and, therefore, this petition would be infructuous.

In view of the above, especially when the directions of the State Government had been adopted by the respondent and they would carry out selection in terms thereof, the petition has been rendered infructuous.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he may be granted liberty to challenge the recommendations of the State Government as adopted by the respondent, if so advised.

The petition is disposed of as infructuous with aforesaid liberty.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

March 15, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No