

COCp-1628-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision :14.12.2022

Rajinder Kumar

...Petitioner

versus

Kumar Saurabh Raj IAS

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present: Mr. Vikas Bali, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhishek Gaiind, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/9 in CWP-12307-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/9 reveals that CWP-12307-2022 was disposed of vide order dated 31.05.2022, by directing the respondent to decide pending representation dated 26.04.2022, by passing a speaking order within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent refers to order Annexure R/1 dated 19.10.2022 and states that in view of needful having been done and grievance of the petitioner having been redressed, no action is called for against the respondent.

4. Learned Counsel for the petitioner on perusal of speaking order Annexure R/1 states that in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to the petitioner to take

follow up the matter with the respondents qua follow action proposed in

speaking order Annexure R/1 dated 19.10.2022.

5. In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.12.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No