

**IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

**CWP-22753-2015 (O&M).
Date of Decision: 24.11.2022.**

Jasvinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Harana Bijli Vitran Nigam and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Charanji Lal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.S. Longia, Advocate,
for the respondents.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, 1959, seeking issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of Certiorari for quashing of the assessment order dated 18.07.2014 (Annexure P-1) passed under Section 135 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and subsequent notice issued on 18.07.2014 (Annexure P-2) under Section 152 of the Electricity Act, 2003, for compounding of the offence of theft of electricity.

Written statement on behalf of the respondents had been filed as per which the electricity connection had actually not been provided to the petitioner and that he had installed his own tubewell connection. He was

found committing theft of energy by laying own electricity line at a different place other than the place where he had got authorized connection.

It is contended that the petitioner deposited the compounding charges under Section 152 of the Electricity Act, 2003 and thus accepted the commission of the offence of theft by him.

The present petition after compounding of the offence would not be maintainable.

Learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition, at this stage.

Disposed of as not pressed..

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

November 24, 2022.
raj arora

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No