

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(224)

CWP-18223-2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision : November 21, 2022

Gaurav Sorot

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nitin Jain, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Maan, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that he was relieved from service by the respondents, which act on the part of the respondents was bad and the petitioner was entitled to continue as Extension Lecturers/Assistant Professors in the relevant subjects, which subjects he was teaching.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that while issuing notice of motion, petitioner was protected and the petitioner is continuing in service as of now.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further argues that the respondents have issued instruction on 04.03.2020, which have been further clarified on 11.05.2022 with regard to the readjustment of the Extension

Lecturers and keeping in view the order passed by Hon'ble Division Bench in *LPA No.814 of 2021 titled as Amrit Kaur versus State of Haryana and others*, even the Extension Lecturers who do not fulfill the requirements have continued in service, hence, the respondents are under obligation to consider the claim of the petitioner keeping in view the said aspect.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner is already continuing in service and his case will be examined for continuation in service under the instructions dated 04.03.2020, as amended on 11.05.2022. Learned State counsel further submits that even the directions issued by Hon'ble Division Bench in *Amrit Kaur's case (supra)* will be kept in mind with regard to the continuance of the petitioner in service and appropriate order will be passed within a period of 8 weeks from receipt of copy of this order so as to ascertain the entitlement of the petitioner to continue in service and in case his case is covered by the instructions in question as well as *Amrit Kaur's case (supra)*, he will be allowed to continue in service till an appropriate order is passed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the aforesaid statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of as having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

CM-15378-CWP-2017

As the main case has been disposed of, the instant application is also disposed of.

November 21, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No