

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-19390-2021

Date of Decision: 12.05.2022

Kamla

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rahul Jaswal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that in the present case, the work charge service rendered by the late husband of the petitioner, w.e.f. July, 1980 till 13.05.1993, has not been taken into account as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits of the petitioner's late husband by the respondents, which action of the respondents is contrary to the settled principle of law, and hence the respondents are liable to be directed to re-calculate the pensionary benefits of the petitioner's late husband, by extending him the benefit of the work charge service rendered by him for the period in question as a qualifying service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the same grievance has also been raised by the petitioner before respondent No.3 by filing representation dated 22.07.2020 (Annexure P-14), which is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned and the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is given to respondent No.3 to decide the said representation dated 22.07.2020, by

passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional A.G., Haryana, keeping in view the advance service of the copy of petition, accepts notice on behalf of the State and raises no objection, in case prayer made hereinbefore on behalf of the petitioner for deciding the representation dated 22.07.2020 (Annexure P-14) in a time bound manner is accepted.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of this case and without going into merits of the claim as raised by the petitioner in the present case as well as in the representation dated 22.07.2020 (Annexure P-14), this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.3 to pass an appropriate speaking order on the representation dated 22.07.2020 (Annexure P-14), filed by the petitioner, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order.

12.05.2022

Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No