

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

CRM-M-34460-2020

Date of Decision: 19.01.2022.

Saurabh Tinjan

....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

(Through Video Conferencing)

Present: Mr. Subhash Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Chander Shekhar, Advocate for the complainant.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in case FIR No.72, dated 17.09.2020, under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 read with Section 34 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station, Kurukshetra, District Kurukshetra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that it was on account of a matrimonial dispute between the parties that the FIR in question came to be registered, wherein, false and fabricated allegations were levelled against the petitioners of subjecting the complainant to physical and mental harassment. Further submits that in compliance of the order dated 08.04.2021, passed by the coordinate Bench of this Court, the petitioner had joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, on instructions from SI Taro, does not dispute the factum of the

petitioner having joined investigation. Learned State counsel on further instructions, has submitted that the petitioner has cooperated with the investigating agency and is thus not required for further investigation, much less, for his custodial interrogation.

Learned counsel for the complainant has, however, opposed the prayer for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner by urging that there were serious allegations levelled against the petitioner of subjecting the complainant to harassment and also misappropriating her dowry articles.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the material on record.

In the wake of the petitioner having joined investigation and instructions received by the learned State counsel that the petitioner is not required for further investigation, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 08.04.2021, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

19.01.2022.
J.Ram/Komal

Whether speaking/reasoned? : Yes/ No
Whether reportable? : Yes/ No