

Deen Mohammad

...Petitioner

versus

A.K. Singh, IAS and others

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Satish Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 4.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 25.05.2022, in CWP-11364-2022.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 25.05.2022, reveals that CWP-11364-2022, was disposed of on the statement of the learned Addl. Advocate General, Haryana, that the authorities would consider and take a decision in respect of the representation/complaint of the petitioner, expeditiously, in accordance with law.

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 and 4 has filed reply by way of affidavit of Shri Vijay Chaudhary, Regional Officer, Haryana State Pollution Control Board, Nuh, along with Annexures R/1 to R/8. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner, who on perusal thereof states that the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same, with liberty to challenge order Annexure R/6 dated

01.09.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against respondent Nos.2 and 4, under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.
5. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.09.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No