

103 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1.

CWP No. 19623-2019
Date of Decision: 25.05.2022
- Rattan Singh

.....Petitioner
- Vs.
- State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents
2.

CWP No. 19625-2019
- Tilak Raj Mehta

...Petitioner
- vs.
- State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents
3.

CWP No. 19636-2019
- Mulakh Raj

...Petitioner
- vs.
- State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents
4.

CWP No. 19709-2019
- Vinod Kumar Kapoor

..Petitioner
- vs.
- State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents
5.

CWP No. 19721-2019
- Amit Kumar Chandna

...Petitioner
- vs.
- State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

6. CWP No. 22632-2019

Ashok Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

7. CWP No. 22643-2019

Satnam Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

8. CWP No. 25983-2019

Rajinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

9. CWP No. 8408-2020 (O&M)

Ishwar Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA**

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioners in all these petitions submits
that the petitioners are seeking that they be granted plots under the oustee
quota, their land having been acquired by the respondent-State for the

Haryana Urban Development Authority (now HSVP).

Though learned counsel submits that similar cases are coming up for hearing on 18.07.2022, we consider it appropriate to dispose of these petitions with a direction to the competent authority in the HSVP to consider each petition and pass a speaking order with regard to the claim of each petitioner, in terms of representations made as have been annexed with some of the petitions.

Even where no representation has been made, the writ petition itself shall be treated as a representation, with speaking orders to be passed in each individual case after hearing the petitioners, within a period of 03 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Naturally, the order to be passed will duly take into consideration the judgments of this court on the subject, including the judgment of a Full Bench in Rajiv Manchanda and others vs. Haryana Urban Development Authority and another 2018 (4) RCR (Civil) 508, in all its aspects.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the other connected cases.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

May 25, 2022
nitin

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes
No.