

Baljit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Satish Chandra and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Ms. Supriya Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate for the respondents.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. At the outset, learned counsel for the parties state that judgment, Annexure P-1 dated 01.11.2018 in CWP No.18847-2016 violation of which has been complained of in the instant petition was set aside in LPA No.1865 of 2019 vide order dated 11.02.2022 and that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not press the instant petition but review has been filed against the decision in the said LPA though the same is yet to be listed therefore, in the circumstances, the petitioners do not press the instant petition at this stage and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to move an application for revival of the instant petition in the eventuality of the review being allowed.

2. The same is not opposed to by the learned counsel for the respondents.

3. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not

calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 while granting liberty to the petitioners to move an application for revival of the instant petition in the eventuality of review filed by the petitioners against decision dated 11.02.2022 in LPA No.1865 of 2019 being allowed.

4. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)

Judge

15.07.2022

ps

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No