

Jagjeet Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. B.R. Rana-I, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Karanveer Singh, Advocate, for the complainant.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in FIR No.161 dated 12.06.2022 registered under Sections 406, 498-A IPC at Police Station Division No.6, Ludhiana, District Ludhiana.

By virtue of the order dated 16.08.2022, while granting interim protection, the petitioner was directed to join investigation.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner has joined the investigation and has got effected recovery as well and fully cooperated and thus, the interim order may kindly be made absolute.

Learned counsel for the complainant has opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner and has submitted that some of the dowry articles remains to be effected.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Kulwinder Singh, has affirmed the fact that the petitioner has joined the investigation. However, he submits that recovery of gold articles remains to be effected.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, it is apparent that the petitioner has joined the investigation and non-recovery of the dowry articles itself cannot be a ground for rejection of the bail to the petitioner.

In view of the above, the order dated 16.08.2022 is made absolute subject to compliance of conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. and the petition stands allowed.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

10.11.2022

sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No