

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(107)

CWP No. 19503 of 2021

Date of Decision : 15.09.2022

Sunita Malik

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Nasir Jamal, Advocate for
Mohammad Arshad, Advocate for the petitioner.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that after the framing of Mewat District School Education (Group B) Service Rules, 2012, she was to be given option so as to opt for 'Mewat Cadre' or 'Rest of Haryana Cadre', which option was not given to her, which act of the respondents is causing prejudice to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance in the representation dated 25.06.2021 (Annexure P-6), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 2 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant

Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and raises no objection in deciding the representation dated 25.06.2021 (Annexure P-6) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 2 is directed to decide the representation dated 25.06.2021 (Annexure P-6), by passing a speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

September 15, 2022
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No