

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.123

**CWP-18088-2022 (O&M)  
Date of decision : 18.08.2022**

Ramchander

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. S.K. Bishnoi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner was appointed as Lambardar by the Collector as he was the only candidate left in the fray, the others having withdrawn their respective candidature/proceeded against ex parte. Aggrieved, respondent No.4 filed an appeal and succeeded. The appellate order was challenged by the petitioner by filing a revision petition. The same was dismissed in default on 28.04.2021 and the application for restoration was dismissed vide order dated 04.05.2022.

It has been recorded in the impugned order dated 04.05.2022 that the application for restoration was filed on 14.03.2022 i.e. after more than 11 months and no cogent reasons were given to explain the delay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that impugned order may be set aside and the Financial Commissioner may be directed to decide the revision petition filed before him.

The submission is not entitled to be accepted as even before this Court, no cogent reasons have come-forth explaining the delay in filing the restoration application. It is thus, evident that the petitioner is not serious in pursuing the litigation.

The writ petition has no merit and is dismissed.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)  
JUDGE

18.08.2022  
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No