

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-39493-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.04.2022**

Gopal Singh @ Kaka

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. K.S. Sidhu, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Pal Singh Gill, DAG Punjab.

Mr. H.S. Mann, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Through the present petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. read with Section 482 Cr. P.C., the petitioner seeks grant of blanket bail to him on the apprehension of an arrest at the hands of respondent nos. 2 to 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that FIR No. 39 dated 28.07.2021, under Sections 323, 354-B, 376-D and 511 IPC, at Women Police Station, District Sirsa, was registered on the statement of Meetu Brar- wife of the petitioner, against Respondent Nos. 3 and 4 i.e. Bahal Singh @ Bhali and Rana Brar, who are brother and nephew of the petitioner, respectively, and are closely related to respondent No. 2, Sub-Inspector Nardev Singh in the Punjab Police. It is further submitted that the complainant in her statement recorded under Section 164 Cr.P.C. has reiterated the assertions made in the FIR, but instead of taking action against the accused persons, the matter was referred to Superintendent of Police, Sirsa,

and the enquiry was marked to DSP. Respondent No. 2 being a Sub-Inspector in the Punjab Police, is conniving with the Haryana Police, and pressuring the petitioner and his wife to withdraw the aforesaid FIR.

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No. 2 does not dispute the fact that respondent No. 2 is related to respondents No. 3 and 4, but he vehemently denies the allegations levelled by the petitioner that respondent No.2 is conniving, in any manner, with the Haryana Police, to implicate the petitioner in some false case. It is further pointed out that FIR No.132 dated 29.07.2021 under Sections 323, 341, 506 read with Section 34 IPC, Police Station Odhan, District Sirsa, was registered against the petitioner and his wife Meetu Brar on the complaint of respondent No.3. It is further pointed out that the petitioner is a habitual offender and as many as 12 more FIRs have been registered against him.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

The matter has been pending before this Court since September-2021 but there is no material on record that any complaint or proceedings has/have been filed or initiated against the petitioner. Besides, there is no counter to the fact that there are multiple FIRs registered against the petitioner. The apprehensions of the petitioner, thus, seem to be out of place and without any substance.

In view of the above, no further orders are called for.

Disposed of.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

28.04.2022

Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No