

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRWP-7748-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 16.08.2022**

MUMTAZ BEGUM AND ANR

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioners.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 6.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are major and living together in a live-in relationship and that they intend to marry each other. He further submits that parents of petitioner No.1 were informed, but they are issuing threats to the petitioners regarding their live-in relationship. The petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 08.08.2022 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali), for redressal of their grievance, but no action has been taken thereupon.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners are living in a constant danger as they have every apprehension that the private respondents would catch them and carry out their threats and might go to the extent of even committing their murder.

The petitioners are, therefore, running from pillar to posts for protection of their life and liberty.

In support of his contentions, learned counsel for the petitioners relies upon the judgment delivered by the Hon'ble Apex Court in Nandakumar and Anr. Vs. The State of Kerala and others, 2018 (2) RCR (Civil) 899.

Notice of motion to the respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

On the asking of this Court, Mr. Harbir Sandhu, AAG Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3-State only.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.

Article 21 of the Constitution stipulates protection of life and liberty to every citizen and that no person shall be deprived of his life and personal liberty except according to the procedure established by law. It is the bounden duty of the State as per the Constitutional obligations cast upon it to protect the life and liberty of every citizen.

In view of the above discussion, I dispose of the present petition with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, SAS Nagar (Mohali), to decide the representation dated 08.08.2022 (Annexure P-3), moved by the petitioners in accordance with law and grant protection to them, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law if any committed by them.

16.08.2022

Aman Jain

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No