

COCp-2543-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision :13.05.2022

Satpal and Ors.

...Petitioners

Versus

Alok Nigam and anr.

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. WaliaPresent : Mr. Chander Shekhar, Advocate for
Ms. Savita Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioners.Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, DAG, Haryana.
*****B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)**

[1] Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 17.12.2018 in CWP No.32168 of 2018 in case titled as Satpal and ors. vs. State of Haryana and ors.

[2] A perusal of order, Annexure P/1 reveals that CWP No.32168 of 2018 was disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to decide the representation submitted by the petitioners within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order and in case the petitioners were found entitled for payment of annuity, to release the same to them but in case there was any legal impediment and the petitioners were not found entitled to the same, a speaking order be passed and communicated to them.

[3] Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that in view of Policy dated 07.12.2007 having been held applicable to the claim of the petitioners vide speaking order, Annexure R/1 as per which the petitioners

Have been held entitled to payment of annuity at the rate of Rs.15,000/- per annum with increase of Rs.500/- per year along with interest @ 7% per annum, the petitioners are satisfied with the action taken, therefore, do not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

[4] In view of the position noted above as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the contempt petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

13.05.2022

'Amit'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>